

7.8.2022

**Marion County Florida
CDBG, HOME, HOME ARPA, ESG, SHIP
Scoring Sheet**

Application: _____

Reviewer: _____ Date: _____

EVALUATION CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	AWARDED POINTS
Organization Description		
Programming & Service Description	10	
Need and Justification		
Activity Need and Justification	20	
Community Funding Priority		
Community Development	10	
Housing	10	
Homeless housing/services	10	
Service Levels		
Service Levels	10	
Beneficiary Information		
*CDBG and HOME Rural/Unincorporated Demographic Beneficiaries	20	
Demographic Beneficiaries		
Performance Measures and Outcomes		
Performance Measures and Outcomes	10	
Budget, Cost Reasonableness, and Leverage of Funding		
Budget and Cost Reasonableness	10	
Implementation		
Implementation	10	
BONUS POINTS		
Developments within an Urban Service Growth Boundary	5	
Programs offered within unincorporated Marion County	5	
Additional funding commitments outside of grant award	5	
TOTAL POINTS	165	

Additional Comments of Reviewer:

ORGANIZATION DESCRIPTION

The organization clearly describes how their provided programs, projects, and services have an impact on an identified community need based on the County's 5 year Consolidated Plan. The focus of their services is well defined, and the intended beneficiaries are identified.

- The project is clearly described, and all of the identified components of the project have been addressed – **10** Points.
- One of the identified components of the project is not clearly defined or has not been addressed – **5** Points.
- More than one of the identified components of the project is lacking – **0** Points.

NEED AND JUSTIFICATION

Applicant provides a clear and specific problem statement. The affected population and proposed service area are defined. The community need is justified and supported by quantifiable and appropriate documentation. Has the applicant received funding from the County in last 5 years? Did they explain the status? Did they document the need for additional funding? Is this expanding services and does the organization explain its capacity to carry out the programs without future County Grant funding?

- Need and justification of project(s), program(s), and/or service(s) are clearly described and documented according to the County's 5-year Consolidated Plan. Proposal addresses a critical need and is not a duplication – **20** Points.
- Community need, affected population, and/or service area do not address problem statement and/or applicant does not include adequate documentation of need – **0** Points.

COMMUNITY FUNDING PRIORITY

The project addresses the following identified community funding priorities: 1) Affordable Housing 2) Community Development (housing job, and or life skills) 3) Reduce Homelessness (permanent supportive housing, rental assistance, or outreach). How will they Increase supply of affordable housing? Improve financial independence by improving life skills, etc. for Marion County residence? How will they reduce homelessness?

- Applicant clearly describes its funding priority and is in alignment with the three priorities. – **10** Points

SERVICE LEVELS

Funding will result in the provision of existing, new, expanding, or increased service. Does the applicant describe how programs will continue independently from County grant funds?

- Increase in an existing service or the implementation of a needed new service(s) in the unincorporated areas of the County and/or the Urban Service Growth Boundary – **10** Points.
- Continuation of existing service(s) with no increase in beneficiary activity among those located in the rural and/or unincorporated areas of Marion County – **5** Points.

BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Specific information including the number of people to be served a make-up of anticipated beneficiaries. Outreach and marketing initiatives that will be implemented to inform and engage potential beneficiaries are clearly described and realistic.

Unincorporated Demographics Percentage

- Did Applicant explain how they serve and document a low income population, or eliminates slum and blight? – **20** Points.
- 80% to 85% of anticipated beneficiaries are of individuals residing in rural/unincorporated Marion County – **15** Points.
- Number of people served is not indicated and/or demographic information is missing – **0** Points.

Performance Measures and Outcomes

Performance Measures and Outcomes are clearly identified and measurable in the scope of work (e.g., increase supply of Affordable Housing, provide jobs, life skills to obtain financial sustainability to acquire housing, reduce the homeless population in Marion County). The methodology used to measure anticipated outcomes is consistent with the proposed project, identified need(s), and goal(s). Outcomes are reasonable for the scope of the project and can be accomplished during the grant period. **What is the return on investment funding to maximize community benefit?**

- Performance measurements and outcomes are clearly defined and reasonable for the scope of work – **10** Points.
- Performance measurements and outcomes are not clearly defined or may be difficult to accomplish during the grant period – **5** Points.
- Performance measurements and outcomes are not defined, unrelated to project, and/or unlikely to be accomplished during the grant period – **0** Points.

Example: (By 00/00/00 – 20 residents/businesses with a goal of fifteen (15) will have successfully graduated from the program and moved into new space in the community; or 75% success rate.)

BUDGET, COST REASONABLENESS, AND LEVERAGE OF FUNDING

The scope of work narrative explains and justifies why requested funding is required. Application narrative and budget include details of **total budget to include ALL additional resources** that will support and leverage funding for the project. Project costs are reasonable based on identified outcomes and the number of beneficiaries served.

Budget and Cost Reasonableness

- Narrative clearly explains the project budget and need for funding. Budget is detailed and appears reasonable for the described project – **10** Points.
- Budget appears reasonable for the project described. Need for funding is not clearly explained – **5** Points.
- Budget is not reasonable for the project described and/or need for funding is not evident – **0** Points.

IMPLEMENTATION

Based on the scope of work narrative, project can be implemented within the grant period. Narrative includes a reasonable scope of work and work plan for how the grant funding will be implemented, operated, and administered. Resources needed for the implementation of the project (i.e., staff, site control, and non-County funds), once approved for funding, are in place. Timeline and milestones for completion of the project are realistic.

- Applicant provided a reasonable timeline that demonstrates how the project will proceed upon execution of grant agreement. Project can be completed within the grant period – **10** Points.
- Additional resources are required before applicant can proceed with project. Project can be completed within the grant period – **5** Points.
- Applicant's ability to implement and complete the project within the grant period is uncertain – **0** Points.

DRAFT